

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/632,208	08/03/2000	Helmut Mangold	PM271764	1169	
7	7590 05/24/2002				
PILLSBURY WINTHROP LLP			EXAMINER		
1600 TYSONS BOULEVARD MCLEAN, VA 22102			GROUP,	GROUP, KARL E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1755		
		•	DATE MAILED: 05/24/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1.D-12

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/632,208

Examiner

Applicant(s)

Karl Group

Art Unit

1755

Mangold et al



The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on th cover sheet with th correspondence address	
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). mailing date of this communication. 	In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the	
If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of	y and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>May 6</i> ,	2002	
_	ction is non-final.	
	e except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex p		
Disposition of Claims		
4) X Claim(s) 1-14	is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above, claim(s) 9-14	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
6) X Claim(s) 1-8	is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.	
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/at	re a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	y to this Office action.	
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 12.	miner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) 🗓 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:		
1. X Certified copies of the priority documents ha		
2. U Certified copies of the priority documents ha		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bur *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of t		
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domesti		
a) The translation of the foreign language provision		
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domesti		
Attachment(s)	, ,	
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/632208 Page 2

Art Unit: 1755

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-8, drawn to a sintered glass, classified in class 501, subclass 54.
 - II. Claims 9-14, drawn to a dispersion, classified in class 423, subclass 325.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a polishing powder and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/632208 Page 3

Art Unit: 1755

5. During a telephone conversation with Michael Sanzo on May 21, 2002 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-8. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 9-14 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

8. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1 and 2 a sintered material is being claimed however the sintering step is optional. Also it is not clear if two separate silicon dioxides (a) and (b) are being claimed or the descriptions are just one.

Regarding claim 2, the phrases "gel-body-like" "green body like" render the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by

Application/Control Number: 09/632208

Art Unit: 1755

"or the like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Regarding claim 2, 3, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim 4, "the resulting sintered body or the sintered surface" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 5, the terminology "predetermined" renders the claim indefinite since the term fails to clearly define the limitation in the claim other than determined beforehand.

Claim 8, is considered indefinite for making reference to claim 1 twice. Furthermore the terminology comparing the properties of the claimed glass to another glass is considered to render the claim indefinite because it allows the claim to have a variable scope over time. The glass used in comparison may have properties that change over time with advances in technology therefor allowing the scope of the instant claim to change over time.

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in

Application/Control Number: 09/632208 Page 5

Art Unit: 1755

section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a, b or e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kamo et al (5,585,173), Koppler et al (5,979,186), Sayce et al (5,985,779), Loxley et al (6,012,304), Bhandarkar et al (6,209,357 and Loxley et al (6,355,587), each taken alone.

The prior art references all teach sintered SiO₂ glass bodies. The instant claims fail to set forth product limitations distinguishing the claimed sintered material.

"The patentability of a product does not depend upon its method of production. If the product in [a] product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, [then] the claim is unpatentable even though the prior [art] product was made by a different process." In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985) (citations omitted). Once the examiner provides a rationale tending to show that the claimed product appears to be the same or similar to that of the prior art, although produced by a different process, the burden shifts to applicant to come forward

Art Unit: 1755

with evidence establishing an unobvious difference between the claimed product and the prior art product. In re Marosi, 218 USPQ 289, 292 (Fed. Cir. 1983).

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl Group whose telephone number is (703)308-3821. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell, can be reached on (703)308-3823. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)872-9310, for any non-final amendment or communication, and (703)872-9311 for any after-final amendment or communication.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

KARL GROUP PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1755

Keg May 22, 2002